

Interview Summary	Application No. 09/011,977	Applicant(s) AMMON ET AL.	
	Examiner Howard V Owens	Art Unit 1623	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Howard V Owens. (3)_____.

(2) Jennifer Topmiller *J. A. Topmiller* (4)_____.

Date of Interview: 4/18/03.

Type: a) ☐ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference
c) ☒ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☐ No.

If Yes, brief description: _____.

Claim(s) discussed: _____.

Identification of prior art discussed: _____.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: The specific use of boswellic acid to treat the inflammatory diseases claimed by the inhibition of HLE would not have been recognized by one of skill in the art. The term "preventing" should be replaced with the term "treating" for favorable consideration of the withdrawal of the 112(1) rejection.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required